

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.topologov

APPLICATION NO.	Fff	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071.664	02/08/2002		Hiroshi Nemoro	791_056 DIV	4184
25191	7590	06-15/2004		EXAM	INER
BURR & BROWN PO BOX 7068				ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND	
SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	- V
10/071,664	NEMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Raymond Alejandro	1745	

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	mation (NOE) in compliance with or of N. 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [2 b) [	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07ff).
fee hav fee und (2) as s	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension e been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension er 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailling date of the final rejection, even if led, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.⊠	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) I will not be entered or b) W will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1.11-13.15.17 and 18.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14, 16 and 19-27.
8.🔲 '	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🔲	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	Other:

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the double patenting rejection has been overcome by the submission of the terminal disclaimer dated 05/25/04.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is also noted that the amendment-after-final of 05/25/04 did not address issues related to the art rejection as set forth in the prior office action dated 03/18/04; in this regard, it is further noted that applicants have not argued the 35 USC 103 rejection and simply submitted a terminal disclaimer to simplify the appeal by eliminating the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.